

Manuscript Number: 2010-181R2

Title: Genome-wide association study of diabetic retinopathy in a Taiwanese population

Article Type: Manuscript

Corresponding Author: Prof Fuu-Jen Tsai,

Corresponding Author's Institution:

First Author: Yu-Chuen Huang, Ph.D.

Order of Authors: Yu-Chuen Huang, Ph.D.; Jane-Ming Lin, MD; Hui-Ju Lin, MD, PhD; Ching-Chu Chen, MD; Shih-Yin Chen, PhD; Chang-Hai Tsai, MD, PhD; Fuu-Jen Tsai, MD, PhD

Abstract: Purpose: Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a microvascular complication of diabetes with a complex multifactorial pathogenesis. The aim of this study was to identify the susceptibility genes that increase the risk of DR in type 2 diabetes (T2D) and to further elucidate the underlying mechanism of DR pathogenesis.

Design: A case-control study.

Participants: 749 unrelated individuals with T2D (174 with DR and 575 without DR) and 100 non-diabetic controls.

Methods: We conducted a genome-wide association study using Illumina HumanHap550-Duo BeadChips.

Main outcome Measures: Compared with the genotypic distribution of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) between subjects with DR and without DR.

Results: Using statistical models, we selected a total of 12 SNPs with p-values $< 1 \times 10^{-6}$ that were associated with DR. After controlling for diabetes duration and hemoglobin A1C, nine of the 12 SNPs located on five chromosomal regions were found to be associated with DR. Five loci not previously associated with DR susceptibility were identified in and around the following genes: MYSM1 (Myb-like, SWIRM and MPN domains 1) located on chromosome 1p (odds ratio [OR] = 1.50, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.03-2.20); PLXDC2 (plexin domain-containing 2) located on the chromosome 10p (OR=1.67, 95% CI=1.06-2.65); ARHGAP22 (Rho GTPase-activating protein 22) located on chromosome 10q (OR = 1.65, 95% CI = 1.05-2.60) and HS6ST3 (heparan sulfate 6-O-sulfotransferase 3) located on chromosome 13q (OR = 2.33, 95% CI = 1.13-4.77). The SNPs rs13163610 and rs17376456 located in the unknown gene on chromosome 5q were also associated with DR (OR = 3.63, 95% CI = 1.38-9.58).

Conclusions: We identified a genetic association for susceptibility to DR in five novel chromosomal regions and PLXDC2 and ARHGAP22, the latter two of which are genes implicated in endothelial cell angiogenesis and increased capillary permeability. These findings suggest unsuspected pathways in the pathogenesis of DR.

Ref.: Manuscript 2010-181R1

Genome-wide association study of diabetic retinopathy in a Taiwanese population

Ophthalmology

Dear Prof Tsai,

I am pleased to inform you that your Manuscript entitled, "Genome-wide association study of diabetic retinopathy in a Taiwanese population," has been accepted for publication in Ophthalmology, pending your addressing the following:

Change from:

Five loci not previously associated with DR susceptibility were identified in and around the following genes: MYSM1 (Myb-like, SWIRM and MPN domains 1) located on chromosome 1p [odds ratio (OR) = 1.50, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.03-2.20];

to:

Five loci not previously associated with DR susceptibility were identified in and around the following genes: MYSM1 (Myb-like, SWIRM and MPN domains 1) located on chromosome 1p (odds ratio [OR] = 1.50, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.03-2.20)...

Review other instances of use of brackets. Use brackets to represent parentheses within parentheses and not the other way around. Numerous errors to correct.

Response: We have made this correction in the revised manuscript.

Use commas and not semicolons for consecutive reference callouts (e.g., 6, 7 and 9,10).

Response: We have made this correction in the revised manuscript.

p. 18 - add date accessed for website.

Response: We have added this information in the text, as follows

Data from the SNP database (**dbSNP BUILD 131**; <http://www.ncbi.nlm.gov/SNP/>)

Submit each table as a separate file.

Response: We would like to upload a separate table file while submitting the revised manuscript.

Define SD, NPDR, SNP ID, MPN, DR (table 2) in tables. Review for others.

Response: We have made this correction in the revised manuscript.

Please do not highlight changes in revision.

With apologies, we currently have very limited space for both print and online pages.

I need to ask authors to help by removing tables or figures since they take so much room to print. Please submit tables 3 and 4 in PDF format for online only publication.

Submit each table as a separate file. The PDF files will not be typeset or reformatted in any way by the publisher. Thank you for your understanding and assistance.

Response: We have made this correction in the revised manuscript.

1 **Genome-wide association study of diabetic retinopathy in a**
2
3
4 **Taiwanese population**
5

6
7
8
9 Yu-Chuen Huang, PhD,^{1,6} Jane-Ming Lin, MD,^{2,6} Hui-Ju Lin, MD, PhD,^{2,6} Ching-Chu
10
11 Chen, MD,^{3,6} Shih-Yin Chen, PhD,^{1,6} Chang-Hai Tsai, MD, PhD,⁸ and Fuu-Jen Tsai,
12
13 MD, PhD^{1,4,5,7}
14
15
16
17
18

19 ¹Genetics Center, Department of Medical Research, ²Department of Ophthalmology,
20
21
22 ³Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, ⁴Department
23
24 of Pediatrics, ⁵Department of Medical Genetics, China Medical University Hospital,
25
26 Taichung 404, Taiwan, ⁶School of Chinese Medicine, ⁷School of Post Baccalaureate
27
28 Chinese Medicine, College of Chinese Medicine, China Medical University,
29
30 Taichung 404, Taiwan, ⁸Asia University, Taichung 413, Taiwan
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **Funding:** This research was supported by China Medical University Hospital,
42
43 Taichung, Taiwan (DMR92-076 and DMR93-017), and the National Research
44
45 Program for Genomic Medicine from National Science Council, Taipei, Taiwan, as
46
47
48 well as the National Clinical Core for Genomic Medicine at Academia Sinica, Taipei,
49
50
51 Taiwan (NSC96-3112-B-001-010).
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Conflict of Interest:** None of the authors have any financial interests to disclose.
2
3
4
5
6

7 **Short title:** Genome-wide association study of diabetic retinopathy
8
9
10

11
12
13 **Corresponding author:** Prof. Fuu-Jen Tsai, MD, PhD, Department of Medical
14 Research, China Medical University Hospital, No. 2, Yuh-Der Road, Taichung 404,
15
16
17
18
19
20 Taiwan.
21

22
23 e-mail: d0704@mail.cmuh.org.tw
24
25
26
27
28

29 **This article contains online-only material. The following should appear**
30
31
32 **online-only: Tables 3 and 4.**
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Abstract**
2
3

4 **Purpose:** Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a microvascular complication of diabetes with
5
6
7 a complex multifactorial pathogenesis. The aim of this study was to identify the
8
9
10 susceptibility genes that increase the risk of DR in type 2 diabetes (T2D) and to
11
12
13 further elucidate the underlying mechanism of DR pathogenesis.
14
15

16 **Design:** A case-control study.
17
18

19 **Participants:** 749 unrelated individuals with T2D (174 with DR and 575 without DR)
20
21
22 and 100 non-diabetic controls.
23
24

25 **Methods:** We conducted a genome-wide association study using Illumina
26
27
28 HumanHap550-Duo BeadChips.
29
30

31 **Main outcome Measures:** Compared with the genotypic distribution of single
32
33 nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) between subjects with DR and without DR.
34
35
36

37 **Results:** Using statistical models, we selected a total of 12 SNPs with p-values $<1 \times$
38
39 10^{-6} that were associated with DR. After controlling for diabetes duration and
40
41
42 hemoglobin A_{1C}, nine of the 12 SNPs located on five chromosomal regions were
43
44
45 found to associated with DR. Five loci not previously associated with DR
46
47
48 susceptibility were identified in and around the following genes: *MYSM1* (Myb-like,
49
50
51 SWIRM and MPN domains 1) located on chromosome 1p (odds ratio [OR] = 1.50,
52
53 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.03–2.20); *PLXDC2* (plexin domain-containing 2)
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 located on the chromosome 10p (OR=1.67, 95% CI=1.06-2.65); *ARHGAP22* (Rho
2
3
4 GTPase-activating protein 22) located on chromosome 10q (OR = 1.65, 95% CI =
5
6
7 1.05–2.60) and *HS6ST3* (heparan sulfate 6-*O*-sulfotransferase 3) located on
8
9
10 chromosome 13q (OR = 2.33, 95% CI = 1.13–4.77). The SNPs rs13163610 and
11
12
13 rs17376456 located in the unknown gene on chromosome 5q were also associated
14
15
16 with DR (OR = 3.63, 95% CI = 1.38–9.58).
17
18

19
20 **Conclusions:** We identified a genetic association for susceptibility to DR in five
21
22
23 novel chromosomal regions and *PLXDC2* and *ARHGAP22*, the latter two of which are
24
25
26 genes implicated in endothelial cell angiogenesis and increased capillary permeability.
27
28

29 These findings suggest unsuspected pathways in the pathogenesis of DR.
30
31

32
33
34
35
36 **Key words:** type 2 diabetes, diabetic retinopathy, polymorphism
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus, of which more than 95% cases worldwide are attributed to type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D), has a complex multifactorial pathogenesis.¹ The devastating complications of diabetes are mostly macro- and microvascular diseases, which are the major causes of morbidity and early mortality in diabetes.²

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is the commonest microvascular complication of diabetes and is a leading cause of new-onset blindness in the working-age population in the developed countries.³⁻⁵ The pathogenesis of DR is believed to be complex and have multifactorial biochemical causes. Alteration of glucose metabolism is the primary cause of DR.^{6,7} Poor glycemic control and prolonged duration of diabetes are other major risk factors in the development of DR.^{7,8} In addition, there is increasing evidence to implicate genetic factors in the susceptibility to DR independent of glycemic control and the duration of diabetes.^{9,10}

To date, genome-wide linkage studies have been performed to identify susceptibility loci for DR. In Pima Indians with T2D, these studies have not only identified the susceptibility loci for diabetes and obesity¹¹ but have also reported weak evidence for linkage of DR to regions of chromosomes 3 and 9,¹² and suggestive evidence for linkage of DR to chromosome 1p36.¹³ Furthermore, in a study of Mexican Americans with T2D, intervals of chromosomes 3 and 12 were shown to be

1 most strongly associated with any severity of DR.¹⁴ In addition, numerous candidate
2
3
4 gene association studies have shown a significant association between genetic factors
5
6
7 and the development of DR, including the involvement of the following: aldose
8
9
10 reductase (AKR1B1),¹⁵⁻²⁰ which is involved in the polyol pathway and catalyzes the
11
12
13 NADPH-dependent reduction of glucose to sorbitol, and is particularly active under
14
15
16 hyperglycemic conditions;²¹ vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF),²²⁻²⁹ which
17
18
19 promotes angiogenesis and is a potent mediator of microvascular permeability,³⁰
20
21
22 transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- β 1),³¹⁻³⁴ which plays an important role in
23
24
25 stimulating angiogenesis and inhibiting endothelial function in the eye;³⁵ endothelial
26
27
28 nitric oxide synthase (NOS3);^{26,36-38} nitric oxide synthase 2A (NOS2A),³⁹
29
30
31 erythropoietin⁴⁰; α 2 β 1 integrin (ITGA2),^{41,42} and intercellular cell adhesion molecule
32
33
34 1 (ICAM1)^{43,44} [for a detailed review, see Abhary *et al.*⁴⁵]. Identification of the
35
36
37 specific genetic risk factors for DR susceptibility will contribute to developing new
38
39
40 treatments and help to improve existing screening methods.
41
42
43
44

45 A considerable amount of work has focused on dissecting the genetics of
46
47
48 diabetes itself; however, fewer studies have been conducted on the molecular
49
50
51 mechanisms leading to its specific complications such as DR. In order to identify
52
53
54 susceptibility loci that are associated with T2D retinopathy in Taiwanese population,
55
56
57 we conducted a genome-wide association study involving 749 T2D cases (174 with
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 retinopathy and 575 without retinopathy) and 100 non-diabetic controls, and
2
3
4 identified 12 previously unknown susceptibility loci related to DR.
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Methods**

2
3
4 **Subjects**

5
6
7 The study involved 749 unrelated individuals with T2D over the age of 20 years,
8
9
10 who were recruited from the China Medical University Hospital (CMUH), Taichung,
11
12
13 Taiwan. Subjects were diagnosed using the American Diabetic Association Criteria.
14
15
16 Subjects with type 1 diabetes, gestational diabetes, or maturity-onset diabetes of the
17
18
19 young were excluded from this study. Of this group, 174 T2D subjects were
20
21
22
23 diagnosed with DR: 102 (58.6%) with non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR)
24
25
26 and 72 (41.4%) with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR). All T2D subjects
27
28
29 underwent a complete ophthalmologic examination, including corrected visual acuity,
30
31
32 fundoscopic examination, and fundus photography. DR was graded by an expert
33
34
35 ophthalmologists according to the American Academy of Ophthalmology proposed
36
37
38 international scales for severity of clinical diabetic retinopathy.⁴⁶ A questionnaire was
39
40
41 designed for collecting information regarding gender, age, age at diagnosis of diabetes,
42
43
44 and ocular history. For each patient, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, waist and
45
46
47 hip circumferences, body mass index (BMI), and hemoglobin A_{1C} (HbA_{1C}) levels
48
49
50 were determined. In addition, 100 non-diabetic controls were randomly selected from
51
52
53
54 the Taiwan Han Chinese Cell and Genome Bank.⁴⁷ The criteria for selecting controls
55
56
57 for inclusion in the association study were (i) no diagnostic history of T2D, (ii) an
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 HbA_{1C} ranging between 3.4% and 6.0%, and (iii) a BMI <32 kg/m². This study was
2
3
4 approved by CMUH institutional review boards, and informed consent was obtained
5
6
7 from all study participants.
8
9

10 11 12 13 **Genotyping** 14

15
16 For the genome-wide association study, genomic DNA was extracted from
17
18 peripheral blood mononuclear cells using a PUREGENE DNA isolation kit (Gentra
19
20 Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Whole genome genotyping using Illumina
21
22 HumanHap550-Duo BeadChips was performed by deCODE genetics, Inc., Reykjavík,
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Statistical analysis

T2D association analysis was carried out to compare allele frequency and genotype distribution between T2D subjects with and without DR using six single-point methods: genotype, allele, trend (Cochran-Armitage test), additive, dominant, and recessive models for each SNP. The most significant test statistic obtained from the six models was selected. First, we selected SNPs with p-values less than 10^{-5} in any of the abovementioned models for subsequent analysis. We then used a logistic regression model with stepwise selection to determine the significant SNPs. SNPs with p-values less than $\alpha = 10^{-7}$, a cut-off for the multiple comparison adjusted by Bonferroni correction, were considered to be significantly associated with the traits. SNPs with p-values between 10^{-7} and 10^{-5} were considered to have suggestive significant associations. Characteristics and clinical data of subjects with DR and without DR were compared by Student's t-test for continuous variables and chi-square test for categorical variables. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were performed by logistic regression and were adjusted with diabetes duration and HbA_{1C} level. Linkage disequilibrium analysis (D' and r^2) between any two loci were performed using the HAPLOVIEW program, v4.1.⁴⁸

Results

We conducted a genome-wide association study to identify genetic variants for DR in T2D Han Chinese residing in Taiwan. We genotyped 749 T2D patients (174 with DR and 575 without DR) and 100 non-diabetic controls using Illumina Hap550duov3 chips. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the above groups are summarized in Table 1. Subjects without DR were of a significantly younger age at the time of study and diagnosis, and had a shorter disease duration, lower HbA_{1C} levels, and lower systolic blood pressure compared with the subjects with DR (Table 1).

DR-associated SNPs were selected from those showing $-\log_{10}(\text{p-value}) \geq 5$ under the most significant test statistic obtained from any of the six statistical models. We then used a logistic regression model with stepwise selection to determine the significant SNPs. As shown in Table 2, twelve SNPs representing seven regions of five chromosomes were selected from the regression model. The strongest SNP association with DR (rs17376456) occurred on chromosome 5 [$-\log_{10}(\text{p-value}) = 14.52$]. This SNP is located in an intergenic region and has tight linkage disequilibrium with rs13163610 ($D' = 1, r^2 = 0.98$). The SNP rs2038823 associated with DR is located on chromosome 13 in an intronic region of the *HS6ST3* (heparan

1 sulfate 6-O-sulfotransferase 3) gene. The remaining five regions, located on
2
3
4 chromosomes 1, 4, and 10, are also associated with DR. The rs2811893 and
5
6
7 rs12092121 SNPs were localized to chromosome 1 in an intronic region of the
8
9
10 *MYSM1* (Myb-like, SWIRM and MPN domains 1) gene and are in complete linkage
11
12
13 disequilibrium with each other ($D' = 1$, $r^2 = 1$). The rs4470583 SNP is located in an
14
15
16 intergenic region on chromosome 4. In addition, six SNPs located on three regions of
17
18
19 chromosome 10 are associated with DR. The rs12219125 SNP in an intergenic region
20
21
22 is strongly associated with DR [$-\log_{10}(\text{p-value}) = 8.03$], and is in strong linkage
23
24
25 disequilibrium with rs1571942 ($D' = 0.99$, $r^2 = 0.96$) localized to the *PLXDC2* (plexin
26
27
28 domain containing 2) gene. The rs4462262 SNP in an intergenic region is also
29
30
31 strongly associated with DR [$-\log_{10}(\text{p-value}) = 7.04$]. Three SNPs (rs4838605,
32
33
34 rs11101355, and rs11101357) are in an intronic region of *ARHGAP22* (Rho
35
36
37 GTPase-activating protein 22). Results from a pairwise linkage disequilibrium
38
39
40 analysis revealed complete linkage disequilibrium between rs11101355 and
41
42
43
44
45 rs11101357 ($D' = 1$, $r^2 = 1$).

46
47
48
49
50
51
52 Furthermore, the etiology of DR can vary according to duration of diabetes and
53
54
55 the status of glycemic control. Table 3 (available at <http://aaojournal.org>) shows the
56
57
58 results of multiple logistic regression analysis of DR susceptibility SNPs in subjects
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 with and without DR after controlling for the diabetes duration and HbA_{1C}. The
2
3
4 results show that 9 SNPs representing five regions of four chromosomes remained
5
6
7 significantly associated with DR in the dominant model after controlling for diabetes
8
9
10 duration and HbA_{1C} in the multiple logistic regression analysis. The risk genotypes
11
12
13 were defined subjects with homozygous risk allele (higher allele frequency in subjects
14
15
16 with DR than in subjects without DR). The risk TT genotype of rs2811893 was
17
18
19 associated with a 1.50-fold increase in DR risk under the dominant model (OR = 1.50,
20
21
22 95% CI = 1.03–2.20). Since rs2811893 is in complete linkage disequilibrium with
23
24
25 rs12092121, the AA genotype of rs12092121 was associated with the same 1.50-fold
26
27
28 increase in DR risk. The AA genotypes of rs13163610 and rs17376456 were
29
30
31 associated with a 3.59-fold (95% CI = 1.36–9.47) and 3.63-fold (95% CI = 1.38–9.58)
32
33
34 increase, respectively, in DR risk in the multiple logistic regression model after
35
36
37 controlling for diabetes duration and HbA_{1C}. The SNPs rs4838605, rs11101355, and
38
39
40 rs11101357 lie within the *ARHGAP22* gene of chromosome 10 and were associated
41
42
43 with 1.58-, 1.65- and 1.65-fold increases in DR risk, respectively. The SNP rs1571942,
44
45
46 located in the *PLXDC2* gene of chromosome 10 was associated with a 1.67-fold (95%
47
48
49 CI = 1.06–2.65) higher risk of developing of DR. The SNP rs12219125 was in strong
50
51
52 linkage disequilibrium with rs1571942 and was associated with a 1.62-fold increase in
53
54
55 DR risk (95% CI = 1.02–2.58). Although the SNP rs1571942 was in strong linkage
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 disequilibrium with rs12219125, it remains unclear which gene it is located in. The
2
3
4 CC genotype of rs2038823 was associated with a 2.33-fold increase in DR risk (95%
5
6
7 CI = 1.13–4.77). After controlling for diabetes duration and HbA_{1C}, there was no
8
9
10 significant relationship between DR and the SNPs rs4470583 and rs4462262 located
11
12
13 on chromosomes 4 and 10, respectively. In addition, we compared the frequency of
14
15
16 genotypes between T2D patients with/without DR and non-diabetic controls. As
17
18
19 shown in Table 3 (available at <http://aaojournal.org>), there were significant differences
20
21
22 between subjects with DR and non-diabetic controls in rs13163610 and rs17376456
23
24
25 on chromosome 5 as well as for rs2038823 in the *HS6ST3* gene on chromosome 13.
26
27
28 There also were significant differences between subjects without DR and non-diabetic
29
30
31 controls with respect to three SNPs in the *ARHGAP22* gene on chromosome 10. For
32
33
34 the remaining SNPs, we observed no statistically significant difference between the
35
36
37 patients with/without DR and the controls.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 We subsequently stratified the T2D subjects with DR according to DR severity
46
47
48 scales; however, due to the relatively small sample size of the DR subjects after
49
50
51 stratification, we pooled all the NPDR subjects together. We analyzed the 10 SNPs
52
53
54 exhibiting a significant difference (including rs4838605 in the *ARHGAP22* gene,
55
56
57 which showed a borderline significant difference) between subjects with and without
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 DR after controlling for HbA_{1C} and diabetes duration. As shown in Table 4 (available
2
3
4 at <http://aaojournal.org>), the risk genotypes located in the *MYSM1* gene on
5
6
7 chromosome 1 (rs2811893 and rs12092121) were associated with a 1.72-fold increase
8
9
10 in NPDR risk under the dominant model (OR = 1.72, 95% CI = 1.09–2.71) after
11
12
13 controlling for HbA_{1c} and diabetes duration. The AA genotypes of rs13163610 and
14
15
16 rs17376456 located in an unknown gene on chromosome 5 were associated with a
17
18
19 3.48-fold (95% CI = 1.05–11.6) and 3.54-fold (95% CI = 1.07–11.7) increase,
20
21
22 respectively, in NPDR risk. The SNPs rs1571942 and rs12219125, which were in
23
24
25 strong linkage disequilibrium and located in the *PLXDC2* gene and an unknown gene,
26
27
28 respectively, on chromosome 10, were associated with a 1.86-fold (95% CI =
29
30
31 1.09–3.18) higher risk of developing NPDR. Furthermore, the CC genotype of
32
33
34 rs2038823 was associated with a 3.15-fold increase in NPDR risk (95% CI =
35
36
37 1.18–8.39). The SNPs rs4838605, rs11101355, and rs11101357 lie within the
38
39
40 *ARHGAP22* gene of chromosome 10 and were associated with 2.18-, 2.22-, and
41
42
43 2.22-fold increases in PDR risk, respectively.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Discussion

Here, we describe the results of a genome-wide association study designed to identify loci associated with the risk of DR in subjects with T2D. Significant associations were identified in regions of chromosomes 1, 5, 10, and 13 after controlling for diabetes duration and HbA_{1C}. The results implicate *MYSM1*, *PLXDC2*, *ARHGAP22*, *HS6ST3*, and an unknown gene on chromosome 5q as being involved in the pathogenesis of DR, and particularly, with the exception *ARHGAP22*, in NPDR, although the biology underlying these associations remains to be elucidated. None of these loci have previously been reported to be associated with genes implicated in the development of DR.

The SNP rs2811893 associated with DR, particularly NPDR, is in complete linkage disequilibrium with rs12092121 and is located in the *MYSM1* gene of chromosome 1p. The *MYSM1* gene encodes a JAMM/MPN⁺ (Jab1/MPN domain metalloenzyme) domain-containing zinc metalloprotease that acts a deubiquitylating enzyme specific for mono-ubiquitylated histone H2A.⁴⁹⁻⁵¹ *MYSM1* acts by coordinating histone acetylation and deubiquitination, and destabilizing the association of linker histone H1 with nucleosomes.⁴⁹ *MYSM1* is located on chromosome 1p32, and a previous genome-wide linkage study has suggested that the interval 1p32-33 is associated with autosomal dominant nonsyndromic renal

1 hypodysplasia and associated urinary tract malformations.⁵² However, the role of
2
3
4 *MYSM1* in DR pathogenesis awaits further characterization. In addition to *MYSM1*
5
6
7 located in chromosome 1p32, a previous study has also reported suggestive evidence
8
9
10 linking DR with a region of chromosome 1p36 in Pima Indians with T2D.¹³ This
11
12
13 suggests that the shorter arm of chromosome 1 may harbor a number of genes
14
15
16 conferring susceptibility to DR.
17

18
19
20 In this study, six of the DR-associated SNPs were located in three regions of
21
22
23 chromosome 10. On the basis of the haplotype analysis, we can divide these SNPs
24
25
26 (with the exception of rs4462262) into two major haplotype blocks. The larger of the
27
28
29 two blocks, which includes the SNPs rs4838605, rs11101355, and rs11101357, is
30
31
32 located in the *ARHGAP22* gene of chromosome 10q. *ARHGAP22* encodes a Rho
33
34
35 family GTPase protein, which is involved in the signal transduction pathway that
36
37
38 regulates endothelial cell capillary tube formation during angiogenesis.⁵³ In addition,
39
40
41 a recent study has suggested that the expression levels of *ARHGAP22* play an
42
43
44 important role in determining the mode of tumor cell movement.⁵⁴ A further recent
45
46
47 study has also suggested that *ARHGAP22* may be involved in a novel
48
49
50 insulin-regulated pathway.⁵⁵ In the present study, *ARHGAP22* was the only gene
51
52
53 found to be associated with PDR. Since *ARHGAP22* is involved in endothelial cell
54
55
56 angiogenesis, it seemed to be a logical candidate gene for PDR. The other block,
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 which is located on chromosome 10p, includes the SNPs rs1571942 and rs12219125.
2
3
4 SNP rs1571942 is located in the *PLXDC2* gene and is in strong linkage disequilibrium
5
6
7 with SNP rs12219125, the gene location of which is unknown. In previous studies,
8
9
10 *PLXDC2*, also called TEM7R (tumor endothelial marker 7-related protein), was
11
12
13 found to be expressed at high levels not only in tumor endothelium but also in the
14
15
16 vessels of some normal tissues, and may thus play a role in tumor angiogenesis.⁵⁶ A
17
18
19 recent study has also shown that *PLXDC2* may be associated with fasting insulin
20
21
22 levels and insulin resistance.⁵⁷ Furthermore, a recent genome-wide study has shown
23
24
25 that *PLXDC2* may be associated with overgrowth in patients with Sotos
26
27
28 syndrome-like features.⁵⁸ SNP rs4462262 was not significantly associated with DR
29
30
31 after controlling for diabetes duration and HbA_{1C}.
32
33
34

35
36 rs2038823, the other SNP associated with DR, particularly NPDR, is located on
37
38
39 chromosome 13q in a gene that encodes HS6ST3. HS6ST3 is a heparan sulfate (HS)
40
41
42 biosynthetic enzyme that modifies HS to generate structures required for the
43
44
45 interactions between HS and a variety of proteins. These interactions are regulated by
46
47
48 a wide variety of biological activities and are implicated in proliferation and
49
50
51 differentiation, adhesion, migration, inflammation, blood coagulation, and other
52
53
54 diverse processes.⁵⁹ The Steno hypothesis states that in diabetes mellitus, changes in
55
56
57 vascular heparan sulfate proteoglycan expression are involved in systemic endothelial
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 dysfunction and increase capillary permeability.⁶⁰ Previous studies using different
2
3
4 animal models, namely, *Drosophila* and mice, have shown that HS6ST3 is involved in
5
6
7 both limb bud development and tracheal branching.^{61,62}
8
9

10 Finally, an additional locus (rs13163610 and rs17376456) is strongly associated
11
12 with DR, even after controlling for diabetes duration and HbA_{1C}. However, the locus
13
14 is located in an intergenic region on chromosome 5q, and therefore it is uncertain
15
16
17 whether this locus plays a causal role in DR or is merely in linkage disequilibrium
18
19
20 with other functional loci.
21
22
23
24
25

26 According to a recent report by Abhary et al., VEGF and associated genes
27
28 involved in the renin-angiotensin system, the polyol pathway, and NO pathways are
29
30 the most appropriate candidate genes for studying the pathogenesis of DR.⁴⁵ Since
31
32
33 both ARHGAP22 and PLXDC2 are involved in endothelial cell
34
35
36 angiogenesis—similar to VEGF, a major mediator of vascular permeability and
37
38
39 angiogenesis—they may play a pivotal role in mediating the development and
40
41
42 progression of DR.^{29,30} In addition, it is worth noting that the unknown gene on
43
44
45 chromosome 5q had the strongest association with DR and accordingly warrants
46
47
48 further investigation in the future.
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 The major limitation of this study is the small sample size of the DR patients. In
56
57
58 the present study, the statistical power (assuming $\alpha = 0.05$) reached 94%, 82%, and
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 83% for subjects with or without DR for the unknown gene on chromosome 5q,
2
3
4 *PLXDC2*, and *ARHGAP22*, respectively. *MYSM1* and *HS6ST3*, nevertheless, lacked
5
6
7 adequate power for subjects with/without DR. However, we have compared the allele
8
9
10 frequencies of these significant SNPs in healthy controls among different ethnic
11
12
13 groups [Data from the SNP database (dbSNP BUILD 131;
14
15 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.gov/SNP/>)]. It is interesting to note that the allele frequencies of
16
17
18 three SNPs located in the *ARHGAP22* gene are very different among the different
19
20
21 ethnic groups. Since these three SNPs were in strong linkage disequilibrium with each
22
23
24 other, we selected only the SNP rs11101357 for description. The A and G allele
25
26
27 frequencies are reported, respectively, to be 12.2% and 87.8% in Chinese populations,
28
29
30 4.7% and 95.3% in Japanese populations, 65.3% and 34.7% in European populations,
31
32
33 and 22.9% and 77.1% in sub-Saharan African populations. The allele frequencies
34
35
36 found in the Chinese populations were similar to those seen in our Taiwanese
37
38
39 population (A allele, 13.5%; G allele, 86.5%) in the present study. The allele
40
41
42 frequency is very different among Africans and the Japanese, and particularly in
43
44
45 Europeans. Therefore, future studies with a larger number of subjects or subjects from
46
47
48 different ethnic backgrounds will be necessary in order to determine whether these
49
50
51 findings can be replicated. As yet, we have been unable to find any evidence to link a
52
53
54 shorter mean diabetes duration and risk genotype of these SNPs in subjects without
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 DR. In order to determine whether subjects with the risk genotypes of SNPs are more
2
3
4 susceptible to developing DR, it will be necessary to undertake a long-term follow-up
5
6
7 of T2D subjects without DR who carry these risk genotypes and to evaluate the
8
9
10 effects of these genes on the development of DR.
11

12
13 In conclusion, the findings of this study contribute to our understanding of the
14
15
16 genetic susceptibility of DR in type 2 diabetes. The novel DR risk loci occur in
17
18
19 *ARHGAP22* and *PLXDC2* genes that are implicated in endothelial cell angiogenesis
20
21
22
23 and increased capillary permeability. Although these findings require further study for
24
25
26 confirmation, including a different cohort or larger sample size, it is interesting to
27
28
29 speculate that these results may indicate new pathways in the pathogenesis of DR.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

1. Prokopenko I, McCarthy MI, Lindgren CM. Type 2 diabetes: new genes, new understanding. *Trends Genet* 2008;24:613-21.
2. He Z, King GL. Microvascular complications of diabetes. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* 2004;33:215-38.
3. Caldwell RB, Bartoli M, Behzadian MA, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor and diabetic retinopathy: pathophysiological mechanisms and treatment perspectives. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2003;19:442-55.
4. Moss SE, Klein R, Klein BE. The 14-year incidence of visual loss in a diabetic population. *Ophthalmology* 1998;105:998-1003.
5. Taylor HR, Keeffe JE. World blindness: a 21st century perspective. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2001;85:261-6.
6. Brownlee M. Biochemistry and molecular cell biology of diabetic complications. *Nature* 2001;414:813-20.
7. Jerneld B, Algvere P. Relationship of duration and onset of diabetes to prevalence of diabetic retinopathy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1986;102:431-7.
8. Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA, et al. Association of glycaemia with

1 macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35):
2
3
4 prospective observational study. *BMJ* 2000;321:405-12.
5
6
7

8 9. Hallman DM, Huber JC, Jr., Gonzalez VH, et al. Familial aggregation of
9
10 severity of diabetic retinopathy in Mexican Americans from Starr County, Texas.
11
12

13
14
15 *Diabetes Care* 2005;28:1163-8.
16
17

18
19 10. Rema M, Saravanan G, Deepa R, Mohan V. Familial clustering of diabetic
20
21 retinopathy in South Indian Type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabet Med* 2002;19:910-6.
22
23

24
25
26 11. Hanson RL, Ehm MG, Pettitt DJ, et al. An autosomal genomic scan for loci
27
28 linked to type II diabetes mellitus and body-mass index in Pima Indians. *Am J*
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Hum Genet 1998;63:1130-8.

12. Imperatore G, Hanson RL, Pettitt DJ, et al. Sib-pair linkage analysis for
susceptibility genes for microvascular complications among Pima Indians with
type 2 diabetes. Pima Diabetes Genes Group. *Diabetes* 1998;47:821-30.

13. Looker HC, Nelson RG, Chew E, et al. Genome-wide linkage analyses to
identify Loci for diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes* 2007;56:1160-6.

14. Hallman DM, Boerwinkle E, Gonzalez VH, et al. A genome-wide linkage scan
for diabetic retinopathy susceptibility genes in Mexican Americans with type 2

1 diabetes from Starr County, Texas. *Diabetes* 2007;56:1167-73.

- 2
3
4
5 15. dos Santos KG, Canani LH, Gross JL, et al. The -106CC genotype of the aldose
6
7
8 reductase gene is associated with an increased risk of proliferative diabetic
9
10
11 retinopathy in Caucasian-Brazilians with type 2 diabetes. *Mol Genet Metab*
12
13
14 2006;88:280-4.
15
16
17
18 16. Santos KG, Tschiedel B, Schneider J, et al. Diabetic retinopathy in
19
20
21 Euro-Brazilian type 2 diabetic patients: relationship with polymorphisms in the
22
23
24 aldose reductase, the plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 and the
25
26
27 methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase genes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*
28
29
30 2003;61:133-6.
31
32
33
34
35 17. Wang Y, Ng MC, Lee SC, et al. Phenotypic heterogeneity and associations of
36
37
38 two aldose reductase gene polymorphisms with nephropathy and retinopathy in
39
40
41 type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2003;26:2410-5.
42
43
44
45
46 18. Fujisawa T, Ikegami H, Kawaguchi Y, et al. Length rather than a specific allele
47
48
49 of dinucleotide repeat in the 5' upstream region of the aldose reductase gene is
50
51
52 associated with diabetic retinopathy. *Diabet Med* 1999;16:1044-7.
53
54
55
56 19. Ichikawa F, Yamada K, Ishiyama-Shigemoto S, et al. Association of an (A-C)_n
57
58
59 dinucleotide repeat polymorphic marker at the 5'-region of the aldose reductase
60
61
62

1 gene with retinopathy but not with nephropathy or neuropathy in Japanese
2
3
4 patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med 1999;16:744-8.
5
6

7
8 20. Ko BC, Lam KS, Wat NM, Chung SS. An (A-C)_n dinucleotide repeat
9
10 polymorphic marker at the 5' end of the aldose reductase gene is associated with
11
12 early-onset diabetic retinopathy in NIDDM patients. Diabetes 1995;44:727-32.
13
14
15

16
17
18 21. Kador PF, Kinoshita JH. Role of aldose reductase in the development of
19
20
21
22 diabetes-associated complications. Am J Med 1985;79:8-12.
23
24

25
26 22. Abhary S, Burdon KP, Gupta A, et al. Common sequence variation in the
27
28
29 VEGFA gene predicts risk of diabetic retinopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci
30
31
32 2009;50:5552-8.
33
34

35
36
37 23. Kangas-Kontio T, Vavuli S, Kakko SJ, et al. Polymorphism of the manganese
38
39
40 superoxide dismutase gene but not of vascular endothelial growth factor gene is
41
42
43 a risk factor for diabetic retinopathy. Br J Ophthalmol 2009;93:1401-6.
44
45

46
47 24. Churchill AJ, Carter JG, Ramsden C, et al. VEGF polymorphisms are associated
48
49
50 with severity of diabetic retinopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2008;49:3611-6.
51
52
53

54
55 25. Uthra S, Raman R, Mukesh BN, et al. Association of VEGF gene
56
57
58 polymorphisms with diabetic retinopathy in a south Indian cohort. Ophthalmic
59
60
61

1 Genet 2008;29:11-5.
2
3
4

5 26. Suganthalakshmi B, Anand R, Kim R, et al. Association of VEGF and eNOS
6
7
8 gene polymorphisms in type 2 diabetic retinopathy. Mol Vis
9
10
11 2006;12:336-41.:336-41.
12
13

14
15 27. Awata T, Kurihara S, Takata N, et al. Functional VEGF C-634G polymorphism
16
17
18 is associated with development of diabetic macular edema and correlated with
19
20
21 macular retinal thickness in type 2 diabetes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun
22
23
24 2005;333:679-85.
25
26

27
28
29 28. Ray D, Mishra M, Ralph S, et al. Association of the VEGF gene with
30
31
32 proliferative diabetic retinopathy but not proteinuria in diabetes. Diabetes
33
34
35 2004;53:861-4.
36
37

38
39
40 29. Awata T, Inoue K, Kurihara S, et al. A common polymorphism in the
41
42
43 5'-untranslated region of the VEGF gene is associated with diabetic retinopathy
44
45
46 in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 2002;51:1635-9.
47
48

49
50
51 30. Aiello LP, Avery RL, Arrigg PG, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor in
52
53
54 ocular fluid of patients with diabetic retinopathy and other retinal disorders. N
55
56
57 Engl J Med 1994;331:1480-7.
58
59

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
31. Prasad P, Tiwari AK, Kumar KM, et al. Association of TGFbeta1, TNFalpha, CCR2 and CCR5 gene polymorphisms in type-2 diabetes and renal insufficiency among Asian Indians. *BMC Med Genet* 2007;8:20.:20.
 32. Buraczynska M, Baranowicz-Gaszczyk I, Borowicz E, Ksiazek A. TGF-beta1 and TSC-22 gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes. *Nephron Physiol* 2007;106:69-75.
 33. Wong TY, Poon P, Chow KM, et al. Association of transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta) T869C (Leu 10Pro) gene polymorphisms with type 2 diabetic nephropathy in Chinese. *Kidney Int* 2003;63:1831-5.
 34. Beranek M, Kankova K, Benes P, et al. Polymorphism R25P in the gene encoding transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta1) is a newly identified risk factor for proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Am J Med Genet* 2002;109:278-83.
 35. Pascal MM, Forrester JV, Knott RM. Glucose-mediated regulation of transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta) and TGF-beta receptors in human retinal endothelial cells. *Curr Eye Res* 1999;19:162-70.
 36. Awata T, Neda T, Iizuka H, et al. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with diabetic macular edema in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2004;27:2184-90.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
37. Ezzidi I, Mtiraoui N, Mohamed MB, et al. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase Glu298Asp, 4b/a, and T-786C polymorphisms in type 2 diabetic retinopathy. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2008;68:542-6.
 38. Chen Y, Huang H, Zhou J, et al. Polymorphism of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with diabetic retinopathy in a cohort of West Africans. *Mol Vis* 2007;13:2142-7.:2142-7.
 39. Warpeha KM, Xu W, Liu L, et al. Genotyping and functional analysis of a polymorphic (CCTTT)(n) repeat of NOS2A in diabetic retinopathy. *FASEB J* 1999;13:1825-32.
 40. Tong Z, Yang Z, Patel S, et al. Promoter polymorphism of the erythropoietin gene in severe diabetic eye and kidney complications. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008;105:6998-7003.
 41. Petrovic MG, Hawlina M, Peterlin B, Petrovic D. BglIII gene polymorphism of the alpha2beta1 integrin gene is a risk factor for diabetic retinopathy in Caucasians with type 2 diabetes. *J Hum Genet* 2003;48:457-60.
 42. Matsubara Y, Murata M, Maruyama T, et al. Association between diabetic retinopathy and genetic variations in alpha2beta1 integrin, a platelet receptor for collagen. *Blood* 2000;95:1560-4.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
43. Kamiuchi K, Hasegawa G, Obayashi H, et al. Intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) polymorphism is associated with diabetic retinopathy in Type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabet Med* 2002;19:371-6.
 44. Liu L, Yu Q, Wang H, et al. Association of intercellular adhesion molecule 1 polymorphisms with retinopathy in Chinese patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med* 2006;23:643-8.
 45. Abhary S, Hewitt AW, Burdon KP, Craig JE. A systematic meta-analysis of genetic association studies for diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes* 2009;58:2137-47.
 46. Wilkinson CP, Ferris FL, III, Klein RE, et al. Proposed international clinical diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema disease severity scales. *Ophthalmology* 2003;110:1677-82.
 47. Pan WH, Fann CS, Wu JY, et al. Han Chinese cell and genome bank in Taiwan: purpose, design and ethical considerations. *Hum Hered* 2006;61:27-30.
 48. Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ. Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics* 2005;21:263-5.
 49. Zhu P, Zhou W, Wang J, et al. A histone H2A deubiquitinase complex coordinating histone acetylation and H1 dissociation in transcriptional

1 regulation. *Mol Cell* 2007;27:609-21.

2
3
4
5 50. Cope GA, Suh GS, Aravind L, et al. Role of predicted metalloprotease motif of
6
7
8 Jab1/Csn5 in cleavage of Nedd8 from Cul1. *Science* 2002;298:608-11.
9

10
11
12 51. Verma R, Aravind L, Oania R, et al. Role of Rpn11 metalloprotease in
13
14
15 deubiquitination and degradation by the 26S proteasome. *Science*
16
17
18 2002;298:611-5.
19
20
21

22
23 52. Sanna-Cherchi S, Caridi G, Weng PL, et al. Localization of a gene for
24
25
26 nonsyndromic renal hypodysplasia to chromosome 1p32-33. *Am J Hum Genet*
27
28
29 2007;80:539-49.
30
31

32
33
34 53. Katoh M, Katoh M. Identification and characterization of ARHGAP24 and
35
36
37 ARHGAP25 genes in silico. *Int J Mol Med* 2004;14:333-8.
38
39
40

41 54. Sanz-Moreno V, Gadea G, Ahn J, et al. Rac activation and inactivation control
42
43
44 plasticity of tumor cell movement. *Cell* 2008;135:510-23.
45
46
47

48 55. Larance M, Rowland AF, Hoehn KL, et al. Global phosphoproteomics identifies
49
50
51 a major role for AKT and 14-3-3 in regulating EDC3. *Mol Cell Proteomics*
52
53
54 2010;9:682-94.
55
56
57

58
59 56. Carson-Walter EB, Watkins DN, Nanda A, et al. Cell surface tumor endothelial
60
61
62

1 markers are conserved in mice and humans. *Cancer Res* 2001;61:6649-55.

2
3
4
5 57. Dupuis J, Langenberg C, Prokopenko I, et al. New genetic loci implicated in
6
7
8 fasting glucose homeostasis and their impact on type 2 diabetes risk. *Nat Genet*
9
10
11 2010;42:105-16.

12
13
14
15 58. Visser R, Gijsbers A, Ruivenkamp C, et al. Genome-wide SNP array analysis in
16
17
18 patients with features of sotos syndrome. *Horm Res Paediatr* 2010;73:265-74.

19
20
21
22
23 59. Habuchi H, Tanaka M, Habuchi O, et al. The occurrence of three isoforms of
24
25
26 heparan sulfate 6-O-sulfotransferase having different specificities for hexuronic
27
28
29 acid adjacent to the targeted N-sulfoglucosamine. *J Biol Chem*
30
31
32 2000;275:2859-68.

33
34
35
36
37 60. Deckert T, Feldt-Rasmussen B, Borch-Johnsen K, et al. Albuminuria reflects
38
39
40 widespread vascular damage. The Steno hypothesis. *Diabetologia*
41
42
43 1989;32:219-26.

44
45
46
47 61. Kamimura K, Fujise M, Villa F, et al. *Drosophila* heparan sulfate
48
49
50 6-O-sulfotransferase (dHS6ST) gene. Structure, expression, and function in the
51
52
53 formation of the tracheal system. *J Biol Chem* 2001;276:17014-21.

54
55
56
57
58 62. Nogami K, Suzuki H, Habuchi H, et al. Distinctive expression patterns of
59
60
61

1 heparan sulfate O-sulfotransferases and regional differences in heparan sulfate

2
3
4 structure in chick limb buds. J Biol Chem 2004;279:8219-29.
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Five previously unknown susceptibility regions related to diabetic retinopathy in a genome-wide association study were identified, which possibly indicate novel pathways in the pathogenesis of this disease.

Table 1. Characteristics and clinical profiles of the study subjects

	T2D subjects		Non-diabetic controls	p-value* (DR vs. without DR)
	with DR	without DR		
	n = 174	n = 575	n = 100	
Gender				
Male	50.6%	53.9%	59.0%	0.440 [†]
Female	49.4%	46.1%	41.0%	
Age at study (mean, years)	58.0	61.9	47.0	<0.001
Age at diagnosis T2D (mean ± SD, years)	47.7 ± 9.3	50.2 ± 9.2		0.002
Duration of diabetes (mean ± SD, years)	14.8 ± 8.3	8.3 ± 6.5		<0.001
HbA_{1C} (%)	8.3 ± 1.4	7.7 ± 1.4		<0.001
BMI (kg/m²)	25.2 ± 4.0	25.1 ± 3.8		0.612
DR severity scales[‡]				
Non-proliferative DR (NPDR)				
-mild NPDR	31.0%	--		
-moderate NPDR	25.9%	--		
-severe NPDR	1.7%	--		
Proliferative DR	41.4%	--		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	135.3 ± 18.5	127.6 ± 16.2		<0.001
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	74.7 ± 11.3	76.4 ± 10.8		0.067
Waist (cm)	89.9 ± 10.3	88.6 ± 10.1		0.147
Hip (cm)	97.0 ± 8.1	97.1 ± 7.6		0.892

T2D, type 2 diabetes; DR, diabetic retinopathy; SD, standard deviation; HbA_{1C}, hemoglobin A_{1C}; NPDR, non-proliferative DR

*Student's t-test; [†]Chi-square test; [‡]According to the American Academy of Ophthalmology proposed international scales for severity of clinical diabetic retinopathy

Table 2. Summary of the SNPs associated with diabetic retinopathy in type 2 diabetes

dbSNP ID	Chr.	Position (Mb)	Nearest gene	Risk allele* (non-risk allele)	p-value [†] (best model)	-log(p-value)
rs2811893	1p	5.89	<i>MYSM1</i>	T (C)	3.09×10^{-7}	6.51
rs12092121	1p	5.89	<i>MYSM1</i>	A (G)	3.09×10^{-7}	6.51
rs4470583	4q	16.24	Unknown	A (G)	4.25×10^{-7}	6.37
rs13163610	5q	9.35	Unknown	A (C)	3.22×10^{-15}	14.49
rs17376456	5q	9.35	Unknown	A (G)	2.99×10^{-15}	14.52
rs1571942	10p	2.05	<i>PLXDC2</i>	C (T)	3.47×10^{-7}	6.46
rs12219125	10p	2.06	Unknown	T (G)	9.29×10^{-9}	8.03
rs4838605	10q	4.93	<i>ARHGAP22</i>	C (T)	1.87×10^{-9}	6.73
rs11101355	10q	4.93	<i>ARHGAP22</i>	T (C)	8.92×10^{-7}	6.05
rs11101357	10q	4.93	<i>ARHGAP22</i>	A (G)	8.92×10^{-7}	6.05
rs4462262	10q	5.88	Unknown	C (T)	9.21×10^{-8}	7.04
rs2038823	13q	9.57	<i>HS6ST3</i>	C (A)	4.68×10^{-11}	10.33

dbSNP ID, SNP database identification; Chr, chromosome; *MYSM1*, Myb-like, SWIRM and MPN domains 1; *PLXDC2*, plexin domain-containing 2; *ARHGAP22*, Rho GTPase-activating protein 22; *HS6ST3*, heparan sulfate 6-O-sulfotransferase 3

*Risk allele: the allele with higher frequency in subjects with diabetic retinopathy (DR) compared with subjects without DR

[†] P-value (best model): p-value of the most significant statistic obtained from six models: genotype, allele, trend, additive, dominant, and recessive mo

Table 3. Genotypic distribution among type 2 diabetics with and without retinopathy and non-diabetic controls, and adjusted odds ratios of the diabetic retinopathy susceptibility SNPs in type 2 diabetic with and without retinopathy

Chr. (nearest gene)	dbSNP ID	Risk allele	Genotype (dominant model)	T2D subjects		Non-diabetic controls n (%)	aOR (95% CI)* (DR vs. without DR)
				with DR n (%)	without DR n (%)		
1p (<i>MYSM1</i>)	rs2811893	T	CC+CT	88 (50.6)	334 (58.3)	61 (61.0)	1.00 (Ref)
			TT	86 (49.4)	239 (41.7)	39 (39.0)	1.50 (1.03-2.20)
1p (<i>MYSM1</i>)	rs12092121	A	GG+GA	88 (50.6)	334 (58.3)	61 (61.0)	1.00 (Ref)
			AA	86 (49.4)	239 (41.7)	39 (39.0)	1.50 (1.03-2.20)
4q (Unknown)	rs4470583	A	GG	145 (83.3)	484 (84.2)	84 (84.0)	1.00 (Ref)
			GA+AA	29 (16.7)	91 (15.8)	16 (16.0)	1.16 (0.70-1.92)
5q (Unknown)	rs13163610 [†]	A	CC+CA	5 (2.9)	60 (10.5)	13 (13.0)	1.00 (Ref)
			AA	169 (97.1)	514 (89.5)	87 (87.0)	3.59 (1.36-9.47)
5q (Unknown)	rs17376456 [†]	A	GG+GA	5 (2.9)	62 (10.8)	13 (13.0)	1.00 (Ref)
			AA	169 (97.1)	513 (89.2)	87 (87.0)	3.63 (1.38-9.58)
10p (<i>PLXDC2</i>)	rs1571942	C	TT	128 (73.6)	481 (83.7)	82 (82.0)	1.00 (Ref)
			CT+CC	46 (26.4)	94 (16.3)	18 (18.0)	1.67 (1.06-2.65)
10p (Unknown)	rs12219125	T	GG	129 (74.1)	481 (83.7)	82 (82.0)	1.00 (Ref)
			GT+TT	45 (25.9)	94 (16.3)	18 (18.0)	1.62 (1.02-2.58)
10q (<i>ARHGAP22</i>)	rs4838605 [‡]	C	TT	130 (74.7)	479 (83.7)	73 (73.0)	1.00 (Ref)
			CT+CC	44 (25.3)	93 (16.3)	27 (27.0)	1.58 (1.00-2.52)
10q (<i>ARHGAP22</i>)	rs11101355 [‡]	T	CC	128 (73.6)	480 (83.8)	74 (74.0)	1.00 (Ref)
			CT+TT	46 (26.4)	93 (16.2)	26 (26.0)	1.65 (1.05-2.60)
10q (<i>ARHGAP22</i>)	rs11101357 [‡]	A	GG	128 (73.6)	480 (83.8)	74 (74.0)	1.00 (Ref)
			AG+AA	46 (26.4)	93 (16.2)	26 (26.0)	1.65 (1.05-2.60)
10q (Unknown)	rs4462262	C	TT+TC	14 (8.0)	75 (13.0)	11 (11.0)	1.00 (Ref)
			CC	160 (92.0)	500 (87.0)	89 (89.0)	1.54 (0.79-2.99)
13q (<i>HS6ST3</i>)	rs2038823 [†]	C	AA+AC	13 (7.5)	76 (13.3)	17 (17.0)	1.00 (Ref)
			CC	161 (92.5)	497 (86.7)	83 (83.0)	2.33 (1.13-4.77)

Chr, chromosome; dbSNP ID, SNP database identification; T2D, type 2 diabetes; DR, diabetic retinopathy; aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval

*Adjusted odds ratio after controlling diabetes duration and HbA_{1C}; [†]Significant differences between T2D subjects with DR and non-diabetic control; [‡]Significant differences between T2D subjects without DR and non-diabetic control

Table 4. Genotypic distribution and adjusted odds ratios of the diabetic retinopathy (DR) susceptibility SNPs in non-proliferative DR and proliferative DR

Chr. (nearest gene)	dbSNP ID	Genotype (dominant model)	T2D subjects			aOR (95% CI)*	
			with NPDR n (%)	with PDR n (%)	without DR n (%)	NPDR vs. without DR	PDR vs. without DR
1p (<i>MYSM1</i>)	rs2811893	CC+CT	48 (47.1)	40 (55.6)	334 (58.3)	1.00 (Ref)	1.00 (Ref)
		TT	54 (52.9)	32 (44.4)	239 (41.7)	1.72 (1.09-2.71)	1.18 (0.68-2.05)
1p (<i>MYSM1</i>)	rs12092121	GG+GA	48 (47.1)	40 (55.6)	334 (58.3)	1.00 (Ref)	1.00 (Ref)
		AA	54 (52.9)	32 (44.4)	239 (41.7)	1.72 (1.09-2.71)	1.18 (0.68-2.05)
5q (Unknown)	rs13163610	CC+CA	3 (2.9)	2 (28)	60 (10.5)	1.00 (Ref)	1.00 (Ref)
		AA	99 (97.1)	70 (97.2)	514 (89.5)	3.48 (1.05-11.6)	3.52 (0.80-15.6)
5q (Unknown)	rs17376456	GG+GA	3 (2.9)	2 (28)	62 (10.8)	1.00 (Ref)	1.00 (Ref)
		AA	99 (97.1)	70 (97.2)	513 (89.2)	3.54 (1.07-11.7)	3.55(0.80-15.7)
10p (<i>PLXDC2</i>)	rs1571942	TT	75 (73.5)	53 (73.6)	481 (83.7)	1.00 (Ref)	1.00 (Ref)
		CT+CC	27 (26.5)	19 (26.4)	94 (16.3)	1.86 (1.09-3.18)	1.31 (0.67-2.58)
10p (Unknown)	rs12219125	GG	75 (73.5)	54 (75.0)	481 (83.7)	1.00 (Ref)	1.00 (Ref)
		GT+TT	27 (26.5)	18 (25.0)	94 (16.3)	1.86 (1.09-3.18)	1.19 (0.60-2.39)
10q (<i>ARHGAP22</i>)	rs4838605	TT	81 (79.4)	49 (68.1)	479 (83.7)	1.00 (Ref)	1.00 (Ref)
		CT+CC	21 (20.6)	23 (31.9)	93 (16.3)	1.28 (0.72-2.27)	2.18 (1.17-4.07)
10q (<i>ARHGAP22</i>)	rs11101355	CC	80 (78.4)	48 (66.7)	480 (83.8)	1.00 (Ref)	1.00 (Ref)
		CT+TT	22 (21.6)	24 (33.3)	93 (16.2)	1.32 (0.75-2.32)	2.22 (1.20-4.09)
10q (<i>ARHGAP22</i>)	rs11101357	GG	80 (78.4)	48 (66.7)	480 (83.8)	1.00 (Ref)	1.00 (Ref)
		AG+AA	22 (21.6)	24 (33.3)	93 (16.2)	1.32 (0.75-2.32)	2.22 (1.20-4.09)
13q (<i>HS6ST3</i>)	rs2038823	AA+AC	6 (5.9)	7 (9.7)	76 (13.3)	1.00 (Ref)	1.00 (Ref)
		CC	96 (94.1)	65 (90.3)	497 (86.7)	3.15 (1.18-8.39)	1.62 (0.63-4.17)

Chr, chromosome; dbSNP ID, SNP database identification; T2D, type 2 diabetes; DR, diabetic retinopathy; NPDR, non-proliferative DR; PDR, proliferative DR; aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; *MYSM1*, Myb-like, SWIRM and MPN domains 1; *PLXDC2*, plexin domain-containing 2; *ARHGAP22*, Rho GTPase-activating protein 22; *HS6ST3*, heparan sulfate 6-O-sulfotransferase 3

*Adjusted odds ratio after controlling diabetes duration and HbA_{1c}